

AGENDA

Technical Advisory Committee of the Calhoun Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

May 8, 2019
10:00 a.m.

1. Call to Order
2. Review and approval of minutes from April 17, 2019
3. Old Business
 - a. TBA
4. New Business
 - A. Review FY 16-19 TIP Spreadsheet and Administrative Modifications
 - B. Resolution 782: MPO Self-Certification
 - C. Resolution 783: Delete project #42: 9th and Caffey St. Resurface
 - D. Resolution 784: Reduction of limits to project #14: Alexandria/Jacksonville Rd. Resurface
 - E. Resolution 785: Reclass Ossington Ave.
 - F. Status report of ALDOT projects
 - G. Safety Observations
 - H. TIP Formal Amendment Public Meeting – May 15, 2019
 - I. STIP Public meetings – May 16, 2019 after MPO
 - J. TAB
5. Next TAC Meeting – June 12, 2019
6. Adjourn

MINUTES
Technical Advisory Committee
of the Metropolitan Planning Organization
Wednesday, April 17, 2019
10:00 a.m.

Those attending:

Libby Messick	EARPDC
Fred Denney	City of Oxford
Michael Hosch	Calhoun County
Brian Rosenbalm	Calhoun County
Mark Stephens	City of Jacksonville
Michael Bergh	ALDoT, East Central Region
Steven Corley	ALDoT, East Central Region
Matt Hawes	CDG Engineers
Shannon Jones	ALDoT, East Central Region
Johnny L. Smith	Mayor, City of Jacksonville
Charles Markert	Long Engineering
Chase Rich	ALDoT, East Central Region

The meeting was called to order at 10:07 a.m. by Ms. Libby Messick.

Upon reviewing the minutes of the March 20, 2019 meeting, a motion to approve the minutes was made by Mr. Mark Stephens and was seconded by Mr. Shannon Jones. Motion carried.

OLD BUSINESS:

There was no Old Business to discuss at this time.

NEW BUSINESS:

Ms. Messick called for review of the FY 16-19 TIP Spreadsheet and Administrative Modifications. She gave the members handouts of the list of MPO projects and reviewed the Administrative Modifications for the month. Ms. Messick explained that there were five modifications that created changes on the TIP spreadsheet. The next four did not create any changes to the TIP spreadsheet. It was stated that project #14 is in for a May letting and will be separated into two projects after it lets.

Next, Ms. Messick called for discussion of Resolution No. 780 Add ALDoT project to the FY 16-19 TIP. She explained that this request came from the ALDoT and will not involve any MPO funds. A motion was made by Mr. Fred Denney to approve this request with **Resolution No. 780**, which was seconded by Mr. Mark Stephens. Motion carried.

Ms. Messick continued by calling for discussion of Resolution No. 781 Increase allocation for Church Street Resurface Project #40. She explained that the City of Jacksonville had requested the increase to complete the CN for the project. A motion was made by Mr. Fred Denney to approve this request with **Resolution No. 781**, which was seconded by Mr. Steven Corley. Motion carried.

Next, Ms. Messick called for discussion of Status Report of ALDoT projects. Mr. Shannon Jones reviewed the local construction projects which was given as a handout for review, and Mr. Steven Corley discussed the ALDoT sponsored projects.

Ms. Messick continued with New Business by calling for discussion of FY 20-23 TIP Project Prioritization. She gave the members a new TIP with the proposed FY 20-23 projects listed. After detailed discussion, it was decided to move the construction portion of both Oxford's Friendship Rd. project Phase II and Phase III out of the upcoming TIP and into long-range with the proposal that Oxford apply for ATRIP funding for this project as soon as ATRIP funding becomes available. Ms. Messick would organize the remaining projects within the 4 TIP years to maintain financial constraint and present the update at the MPO meeting the following day.

Next, Ms. Messick continued by calling for discussion of Safety Observations. She explained that there was a handout in the agenda packet with the list of concerns from the CAC that had been discussed at previous meetings for review. There was one new project added, #10 is for the City of Anniston. There was concern about the timing of the light at the intersection of 202/Quintard Ave. Mr. Jay Jenkins had stated previously that he would check into it.

Ms. Messick continued with New Business by calling for the discussion of the STIP Public meeting that will be held on May 16th after the MPO meeting. A flyer was in the agenda packet for review.

Next, Ms. Messick called for the Report on Urban Fixed Route and ADA Handicapped Transit Systems. She gave the report for the Fixed-Route ridership for the month of March, which was provided in the agenda packet for review. There were 8,979 passengers on the Fixed-Route during March. The final table in the agenda is the ADA Paratransit ridership for the month of March. There were 836 trips for the City of Anniston, 186 trips for the City of Jacksonville, 30 trips for the City of Weaver, 130 trips for the City of Oxford, 284 trips for the Urbanized part of the County and 222 trips for the Rural part of the County.

The next TAC meeting will be Wednesday, May 8, 2019 at 10:00 a.m.

There being no other business to discuss, a motion was made by Mr. Michael Hosch to adjourn at 11:26 a.m., which was seconded by Mr. Fred Denney. Motion carried.

May 2019
Administrative Modifications

That created changes on the TIP spreadsheet:

1. #46 CN (10008423) 4-lane Greenbrier Rd
Old Overrun Amount: \$533,835.45
New Overrun Amount: \$697,054.56
-Resolution 733 increased allocation to \$533,835 but did not cover total overrun. An additional \$119,894.15 was added to cover overrun.

That did not create changes on the TIP spreadsheet:

1. #35 CN (100063208) RESURFACING AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT 4TH STREET AND NOBLE STREET
Old Target start date: May 21, 2019
New Target start date: June 28, 2019
-New start date within the same FY as old start date. No change needed.

CALHOUN AREA MPO

FINAL FY16-19 TIP AS OF APRIL 24, 2019

ALL FUNDS AVAILABLE (FED + LOCAL MATCH)
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (STP)

PE	Preliminary Engineering
RW	Right of Way
UT	Utilities
CN	Construction

	Authorized to Proceed
	Moved from one FY to another FY
	Funded by ATRIP or ARRA
	New Project or Allocation Change

Project Description	Type	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
3 Resurface Chief Ladiga Trail w/Sidewalk Connection #100062150 City of Weaver #100062151 COMPLETE	PE CN						34,822			278,000				
4 Signal Upgrade (AL 21/Baltzell Gate Rd.) #100047013 Anniston ARRA \$ Re-assigned to Frank Akers Rd. #100047014	PE CN					63,145						138,606		
5 Signal Upgrade (AL21/Lenlock Lane) #100047015 CLOSED 100054601 #100047016	PE CN					30,529						212,355		
6 McIntosh Road Re-Alignment (PE funded by Calhoun County) (L. Smith Pkwy to CC Road) #100054924 #100054925 PE - Developed by the County Eng. Dept. #100054926 UNDER CN	PE RW UT CN						364,742				32,475			
7 Lenlock/Saks Road Intersection & Turn Lanes #100051023 #100051025 #100051024 DELETED #100051026 COMPLETE	PE RW UT CN		143,247				286,350	0			1,144,686			
11 Snow Street Drainage & Resurfacing (AL 21 to US 78) #100052524 #100063101 #100052525 UNDER CN	PE RW CN	60,000		60,000				262,000			2,112,027			
14 Alexandria/Jacksonville Rd. - Resurface (Jville CL to McClellan Rd.) PE - Developed by the County Eng. Dept. #100064930	PE RW UT CN												460,156	
23 64th Street Bridge Replacement - #6204 #100054937 #100054938 PE - Dev. By Calhoun County Eng. Dept. #10054939	PE RW UT CN												35,850	23,880
25 Coffee (A) Street - Resurface (AL 21 to Alex/Jville Rd.) #100043807 #100043808 COMPLETE	PE CN		19,354						334,243					477,626
27 Edith Ave. - ReCon/Widen (Caffey Dr. to MLK, Jr. Dr.) #100054921 COMPLETE	CN			872,225										
28 AL 21 Signal Upgrade/Timing - Jacksonville #100057397 CN is ATRIP Funded COMPLETE	PE UT CN				150,000			0		0				
29 L. Smith Blvd/Friendship Rd. - Roundabout #100057400 DELETED #100057401 DELETED #100057402 DELETED #100057403 DELETED	PE RW UT CN										0			
30 Barry Street/US 78 - Reconfigure Intersection #100057404 #100057405 #100057406 #100057407	PE RW UT CN				188,111							989,149		
33 Chief Ladiga Trail - Anniston - ± 5.8 mi (Cane Ck. To 4th St.) #100063226 #100060694	PE RW							401,320			522,903			
35 Noble St./4th Street Intersection Imp. & Resurface #100063207 Includes Resurface Noble St. (AL202 to 4th St.) #100065455 #100065454 *DELETED* #100063208	PE RW UT CN							81,387		0	32,643			
36 Bicycle/Pedestrian Improvements - Clydesdale, 11th & 14th St. #100063209 #100063210 COMPLETE	PE CN							96,041		43,874				
37 Bicycle/Pedestrian Improvements - 4th St., Noble, 14th, 11th, 18th & Cobb Ave. #100063211 (CN Includes \$25k for Bicycle Parking Racks) #100063212 COMPLETE	PE CN							55,609		229,983				
38 Intersection Lighting AL 202/CR 109 #100064881 #100064882 COMPLETE	PE CN								24,000					
39 Mountain St. NE - Resurface (AL 21 to Eighth Ave. NE) #100064883 #100064884	PE CN									328,607				455,625

CALHOUN AREA MPO

FINAL FY16-19 TIP AS OF APRIL 24, 2019

ALL FUNDS AVAILABLE (FED + LOCAL MATCH)
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (STP)

PE	Preliminary Engineering
RW	Right of Way
UT	Utilities
CN	Construction

	Authorized to Proceed
	Moved from one FY to another FY
	Funded by ATRIP or ARRA
	New Project or Allocation Change

Project Description	Type	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
40 Church Avenue - Resurface (11th St. NE to AL 21) #100064885	PE										118,688			
#100064886	CN											1,890,753		
41 Airport Rd. Replace Bridge BN #011215 (at Coldwater Ck.) #100064887	PE										340,890			
#100064888	RW											10,201		
#100064889	UT												77,273	
#100064890	CN												2,101,710	
42 9th Street & Caffey Drive - Resurface (AL 78 to Barry St.) #100064891 WILL DELETE MAY 2019	PE											0		
#100064892	CN											0		
43 Lenlock Lane - Resurface (US431 to Anniston CL) PE - Dev. By Calhoun County Eng. Dept. #100064895 COMPLETE	PE								618,840					
#100064896	CN										294,000			
44 Bynum Leatherwood/Gadsden Hwy Intersection Improvements (Add lanes and Signal) #100064897	PE												908,908	
#100064898	CN													
45 Russell Drive - Resurface (Alexandria Rd. to Peaceburg Rd.) Authorized for Classification Oct. 13, 2015 #100064899	PE										47,553			
#100064899	CN												408,744	
46 4-lane Greenbrier Rd. cost overruns (Anniston) #100008423 Resolution 733	CN									533,835		119,894		
47 Wilmer, Greenbrier to G Street, cost overruns Anniston #100047104 Resolution 733 #100047107	PE									1,977				
	CN									57,844				
PROGRAMMED		2,526,067	1,701,781	1,064,480	776,116	1,219,093	4,744,731	898,357	2,739,715	4,277,304	1,356,675	5,685,861	3,496,635	501,506
APPORTIONMENT		1,860,924	2,012,431	2,033,081	1,947,991	2,098,415	2,099,475	2,099,475	2,182,759	2,266,095	2,363,956	2,463,701	2,463,701	2,463,701
CARRYOVER		8,239,879	7,574,736	7,885,386	8,853,986	10,025,861	10,905,183	8,259,927	9,461,045	8,904,089	6,892,881	7,900,162	4,678,002	3,645,068
AVAILABLE		10,100,803	9,587,167	9,918,466	10,801,977	12,124,276	13,004,658	10,359,402	11,643,804	11,170,184	9,256,837	10,363,863	7,141,704	6,108,770
BALANCE		7,574,736	7,885,386	8,853,986	10,025,861	10,905,183	8,259,927	9,461,045	8,904,089	6,892,881	7,900,162	4,678,002	3,645,068	5,607,263

Resolution # 782
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING SELF-CERTIFICATION

The Alabama Department of Transportation and the Calhoun Area Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Calhoun County Urbanized Area hereby certify that the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements including:

- (1) 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and 23 C.F.R. part 450, subpart C;
- (2) In nonattainment and maintenance areas, sections 174 and 176 (c) and (d), of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506 (c) and (d)) and 40 C.F.R. part 93;
- (3) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 C.F.R. part 21;
- (4) 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- (5) Section 1101(b) of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) (Pub. L. 114-94) and 49 C.F.R. part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
- (6) 23 C.F.R. part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
- (7) The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 C.F.R. parts 27, 37, and 38;
- (8) The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
- (9) Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender; and
- (10) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 C.F.R. part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Calhoun Area
Metropolitan Planning Organization

Alabama
State Department of Transportation

Signature

Signature

Jerry Parris
Printed Name

Printed Name

MPO Chair
Title

Title

Date

Date

Calhoun Area MPO

May 2019

Certification Questions

Statewide and Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Planning Process

Section (1): The metropolitan planning requirements of 23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 5303;

Is the MPO properly designated by agreement between the Governor and 75% of the urbanized area, including the largest incorporated city, and in accordance with procedures set forth in state and local law? [23 U.S.C. 134 (d)(1)(A) and (B); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (d); 23 C.F.R. 450.310 (b)] Yes

For Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) only, does the MPO policy board include local elected officials, officials that administer or operate major modes of transportation, and appropriate state officials? [23 U.S.C. 134 (d)(2)(A), (B), & (C); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (d); 23 C.F.R. 450.310 (d)] NA

Does the MPO have up to date agreements such as the transportation planning agreement that creates the MPO, the financial agreement, and, if applicable, a transportation planning agreement between the MPOs, State, and public transportation operators where more than one MPO has been designated to serve an urbanized area? [23 C.F.R. 450.314] Yes

Does the MPO boundary encompass the existing urbanized area and contiguous area expected to become urbanized within 20-year forecast period? [23 U.S.C. 134 (e)(2); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (e); 23 C.F.R. 450.312 (a)] Yes

Did ALDOT send a copy of the boundary map to FHWA and FTA? [23 C.F.R. 450.312 (j)] Yes

For projects located within the boundaries of more than one MPO, does the MPO coordinate the planning of these projects with the other MPO(s)? [23 U.S.C. 134 (g)(2)] NA

Does the MPO planning process provide for consideration of the 10 planning factors? [23 U.S.C. 134 (h); 23 C.F.R. 450.306 (b)] Yes

Did the Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) have at least a 20-year horizon at the time of adoption of the last major update? [23 U.S.C. 134 (i)(2)(A); 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (a)] Yes

1. Did the LRTP address the following areas in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 134 (i)(2), 49 U.S.C. 5303 (f)?

- Identify projected transportation demand of persons and goods in the metropolitan planning area over the period of the transportation plan. Yes
 - Identify major transportation facilities (including major roadways, public transportation facilities, intercity bus facilities, multimodal and intermodal facilities, nonmotorized transportation facilities) that function as an integrated metropolitan transportation system, giving emphasis to facilities that serve national and regional transportation functions. Yes
 - Include a description of the performance measures and performance targets used in assessing the performance of the transportation system in accordance with 23 USC 134(h)(2). Yes
 - Include a system performance report and subsequent updates evaluating the condition and performance of the transportation system with respect to the performance targets described in 23 USC 134(h)(2). Yes
 - Include discussion of types of potential environmental mitigation activities and potential areas to carry out these activities, including activities that may have the greatest potential to restore and maintain the environmental functions affected by the plan. Yes
 - Include a financial plan that showed the public and private revenue sources that could reasonably be expected. Yes
 - Include discussion of operational and management strategies to improve the performance of existing transportation facilities to relieve vehicular congestion and maximize the safety and mobility of people and goods. Yes
 - Include discussion of capital investment and other strategies to preserve the existing and projected future metropolitan transportation infrastructure, provide for multimodal capacity increases based on regional priorities and needs, and reduce the vulnerability of the existing transportation infrastructure to national disasters. Yes
 - Indicate as appropriate proposed transportation and transit enhancement activities. Yes
2. Did the LRTP address the following minimum required areas in accordance with 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (f)?
- Identify projected transportation demand of persons and goods in the metropolitan planning area over the period of the transportation plan; Yes

- Identify existing and proposed transportation facilities (including major roadways, transit, multimodal and intermodal facilities, pedestrian walkways and bicycle facilities, and intermodal connectors); Yes
 - Include a description of the performance measures and performance targets used in assessing the performance of the transportation system in accordance with 23 C.F.R. 450.306(d). Yes
 - Include a system performance report and subsequent updates evaluating the condition and performance of the transportation system with respect to the performance targets described in 23 C.F.R. 450.306(d) Yes
 - Include operational and management strategies to improve the performance of existing transportation facilities; Yes
 - In TMA areas, consider the results of the congestion management process; NA
 - Include an assessment of capital investment and other strategies to preserve the existing and projected future metropolitan transportation infrastructure and provide for multimodal capacity increases based on regional priorities and needs; Yes
 - Describe the proposed improvements in sufficient detail to develop cost estimates; Yes
 - Discuss types of potential environmental mitigation activities and potential areas to carry out these activities; Yes
 - Include pedestrian walkway and bicycle transportation facilities; Yes
 - Include transportation and transit enhancement activities; Yes
 - Include a financial plan that demonstrates how the adopted transportation plan can be implemented Yes
 - Include design concept and design scope descriptions of all existing and proposed transportation facilities in sufficient detail, regardless of funding sources, in nonattainment and maintenance areas for conformity determinations under the EPA's transportation conformity regulations (40 C.F.R. part 93, subpart A). Yes
3. Has the LRTP been reviewed and updated at least 5 years since the date of the last MPO Board action? If the MPO planning area is in nonattainment and maintenance areas, has the LRTP been reviewed and updated at least 4 years since the last board action? [23 U.S.C. 134 (i)(1); 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (c)] Yes
 4. Has the MPO sent all updates/amendments of the LRTP to FHWA and FTA via the ALDOT's Local Transportation Bureau? [23 C.F.R. 450.324 (c)] Yes
 5. Was the TIP developed in cooperation with the State and local transit operators? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(1)(A); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (a); 23 C.F.R. 450.326 (a)] Yes
 6. Was the TIP updated at least every 4 years and approved by the MPO and the Governor? [23 U.S.C.134 (j)(1)(D); 23 C.F.R. 450.326 (a)] Yes

7. Was the TIP financially constrained and did it include only revenues that could be reasonably expected? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(2)(B); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (j); 23 C.F.R. 450.326 (h)] Yes
8. Did the TIP contain a priority list of federally supported projects to be supported over the next four years? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(2)(A); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (j); 23 C.F.R. 450.326 (a)] Yes
9. Did the TIP contain all regionally significant projects, as defined by 23 C.F.R. 450.104? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(3)(B); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (j)(2); 23 C.F.R. 450.326 (d)] Yes
10. Was the TIP consistent with the LRTP? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(3)(C); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (j)(1); and 23 C.F.R. 450.326 (i)] Yes
11. Does the TIP identify the criteria and process for prioritizing implementation of transportation plan elements (including inter-modal trade-offs) for inclusion in the TIP and any changes in priorities from previous TIPs? [23 C.F.R. 450.326 (n) (1)] Yes
12. Did the TIP include a listing of projects for which Federal funds have been obligated in the preceding year, or was this list otherwise made available for public review? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(7)(B); 49 U.S.C. 5304 (j)(7); 23 C.F.R. 450.326 (b) and (n)] Yes
13. When developing the LRTP and TIP, did the MPO provide citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, freight shippers, providers of freight transportation services, private providers of transportation, representatives of users of public transit, and other interested parties with a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed plan and program? [23 U.S.C. 134 (i)(6)(A) and (j)(4)] Yes
14. Is the LRTP and TIP of the MPO published or otherwise readily available for public review? [23 U.S.C. 134 (i)(6) and (j)(7)(A)] Yes
15. Did the UPWP identify work proposed for the next one- or two-year period by major activity and task in sufficient detail to indicate who will perform the work, the schedule for completing the work, the resulting products, the proposed funding by activity/task, and a summary of the total amounts and sources of Federal and matching funds? [23 C.F.R. 450.308 (c)] Yes
16. Did the UPWP document planning activities to be funded with through Title 23 U.S.C. and the Federal Transit Act? [23 C.F.R. 450.308 (b)] Yes
17. Were the transportation plans and programs of the MPO based on a continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative process? [23 U.S.C. 134 (c)(3), 49 U.S.C. 5303 (c)(3)]

Yes

18. If located in a Transportation Management Area, does the MPO have an up to date congestion management process? [23 U.S.C. 134 (k)(3)] NA
19. Does the MPO have a documented Public Participation Plan that defines a process for members of the public to have reasonable opportunity to participate in the planning process? [23 C.F.R. 450.316 (a)] Yes
20. Has the MPO recently reviewed its Public Participation Plan? [23 C.F.R. 450.316 (a)(1)(x)] Yes
21. When the Public Participation Plan was adopted, was it made available for public review for at least 45 days? [23 C.F.R. 450.316(a)(3)] Yes

Section (2): The requirements of Sections 174 and 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act (for air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas only)

1. How does the MPO coordinate the development of the Transportation Plan with SIP development? By communicating which projects will need to be moved into the next SIP and communicating the addition of new projects for the MPOs upcoming TIP to be included in the SIP.
2. How does the MPO's UPWP incorporate all of the metropolitan transportation-related air quality planning activities addressing air quality goals, including those not funded by FHWA/FTA? The Calhoun Area MPO is in attainment for air quality. Current UPWP tasks for air quality include attending seminars regarding air quality training and monitoring air quality conditions and laws.
3. Does the metropolitan planning process include a Congestion Management Process that meets the requirements of 23 C.F.R. Part 450.322? What assurances are there that the Transportation Plan incorporates travel demand and operational management strategies, and that necessary demand reduction and operational management commitments are made for new SOV projects? NA
4. How does the MPO ensure that the TIP includes all proposed federally and non-federally funded regionally significant transportation projects, including intermodal facilities? Communication with the ALDOT regarding regionally significant transportation projects to be added into the TIP.

Sections (3), (4), and (7) through (10): The prohibitions against discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, age, gender, or disability as dictated by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 5332; 23 U.S.C. 324; the Americans with Disabilities Act; the Older Americans Act; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973

1. Does the MPO have a signed Title VI policy statement expressing commitment to non-discrimination? [23 C.F.R. 200.9 (a)(1)] Yes
2. Does the MPO take action to correct any deficiencies found by ALDOT within a reasonable time period, not to exceed 90 days, in order to implement Title VI compliance? [23 C.F.R. 200.9 (a)(3)] Yes
3. Does the MPO have a staff person assigned to handle Title VI and ADA related issues? This does not need to be a full-time equivalent position, but there should be at least someone at the MPO for whom Title VI and ADA is an extra duty area. [23 C.F.R. 200.9 (b)(1); 49 C.F.R. 27.13] Yes
4. Does the MPO have a procedure in place for the prompt processing and disposition of Title VI and Title VIII complaints, and does this procedure comply with ALDOT's procedure? [23 C.F.R. 200.9 (b)(3)] Yes
5. Does the MPO collect statistical data (race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability) of participants in, and beneficiaries of the programs and activities of the MPO? [23 C.F.R. 200.9 (b)(4)] Yes
6. Does the MPO conduct an annual review of their program areas (for example: public involvement) to determine their level of effectiveness in satisfying the requirements of Title VI? [23 C.F.R. 200.9 (b)(6)] Yes
7. Has the MPO participated in any recent Title VI training, either offered by the state, organized by the MPO, or some other form of training, in the past year? Yes
8. Does the MPO have a signed Non Discrimination Agreement, including Title VI Assurances, with the State? Yes
9. Do the MPO's contracts and bids include the appropriate language as shown in the appendices of the Non Discrimination Agreement with the State? Yes
10. Does the MPO hold its meetings in locations that are ADA accessible? [49 C.F.R. 27.7 (5)] Yes
11. Does the MPO take appropriate steps to ensure its communications are available to persons with impaired vision and hearing? [49 C.F.R. 27.7 (6)(c)] Yes
12. Does the MPO keep on file for 1 year all complaints of ADA non-compliance received and for 5 years a record of all complaints in summary form? [49 C.F.R. 27.121] Yes
13. Have all the local governments included within the MPO's study area boundary completed an ADA Transition Plan? Please provide a table indicating the status of the transition plans and copy of the completed transition plans. Yes

Section (5): Section 1101(b) of SAFETEA-LU regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in FHWA and FTA planning projects (*49 C.F.R. Part 26*) Note: MPOs that are part of municipal or county governments may have some of these processes handled by the host agency.

1. Does the MPO have an ALDOT approved DBE plan? Yes, within our UPWP and

TIP/Title VI Plan

2. Does the MPO track DBE participation? Yes
3. Does the MPO report actual payments to DBEs? Yes
4. Does the MPO include the DBE policy statement in its boilerplate contract language for consultants and sub-consultants? Yes

Section (6): 23 C.F.R. Part 230 regarding implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts.

1. Has the MPO implemented an equal employment opportunity program? Yes, within our UPWP and TIP/Title VI Plan.

450.334 Self-certifications and Federal certifications.

Each MPO is required to include the new certification form in the TIP when updating the TIP every four (4) years and send a copy of the certification form to ALDOT's Local Transportation Bureau. After the Transportation Director at ALDOT signs the certification form, the Local Transportation Bureau will return a signed copy of the certification form to each MPO to be placed in the MPO's project folder.

Resolution # 783

WHEREAS, the Calhoun Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) has adopted its FY 16-19 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) on July 16, 2015 with Resolution #698; and

WHEREAS, the City of Oxford has requested the deletion of both the PE and CN of project #42: 9th Street and Caffey Drive Resurface project from the FY 16-19 TIP. Said actions would result in the following changes to the FY 16-19 TIP:

9th Street and Caffey Drive – Resurface

	<u>Current Allocation</u>	<u>New Allocation</u>
#100064891	\$61,131	\$0
#100064892	\$403,508	\$0

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Calhoun Area MPO, that the FY16-19 TIP be amended to change the allocations for the Calhoun County projects as indicated above.

Passed and adopted this 16th day of May 2019.

Councilman Jerry Parris, Chairman
City of Jacksonville

ATTEST:

Elizabeth Messick, Regional Planner
East Alabama Regional Planning and
Development Commission

Resolution # 784

WHEREAS, the Calhoun Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) has adopted its FY 16-19 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) on July 16, 2015 with Resolution #698; and

WHEREAS, Calhoun County has requested that the MPO reduce the limits of the Alexandria/Jacksonville Rd. resurfacing project from 6.29 miles between the Jacksonville City Limits to McClellan Road to 2.502 miles between the intersection at McClellan Rd to the intersection at Cedar Springs Road in Calhoun County. The funding allocation for this project was reduced with an administrative modification in April 2019 and the remaining 3.788 miles will be programmed in the FY 20-23 TIP.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Calhoun Area MPO, that the FY16-19 TIP be amended to change the allocations for the Calhoun County projects as indicated above.

Passed and adopted this 16th day of May 2019.

Councilman Jerry Parris, Chairman
City of Jacksonville

ATTEST:

Elizabeth Messick, Regional Planner
East Alabama Regional Planning and
Development Commission

Resolution # 785

WHEREAS, the Calhoun Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) has adopted its FY 16-19 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) on July 16, 2015 with Resolution #698; and

WHEREAS, the City of Anniston has requested that the MPO consider the classification of Ossington Avenue as a minor collector; and

WHEREAS, the Calhoun Area MPO must request concurrence of the FHWA and the ALDOT before this road can be added to and included in the classification road network,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Calhoun Area MPO, that it is requesting ALDOT and FHWA concurrence in order to classify Ossington Avenue as a minor collector and add them to the network.

Passed and adopted this 16th day of May 2019.

Councilman Jerry Parris, Chairman
City of Jacksonville

ATTEST:

Elizabeth Messick, Regional Planner
East Alabama Regional Planning and
Development Commission

CURRENT CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

<u>PROJECT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
STPOA-7440(600)	Resurface & Drainage Imp. on Snow Street from SR 21 to US 78 In Oxford	Northside of drainage and concrete work complete and start southside
NH-0021(558)	Resurface & Stripe on SR 21 from George Douthit to Pine Island Rd.	Punch list-manhole reset
STPAA-HSIP-0004(544)	Resurfacing of US 78 from Talladega County to SR 202	Permanent Stripe and Punch list
99-504-690-000-501	Bridge Painting Project over RR In Calhoun, Clay, Talladega, and Chambers counties	About 95% complete

MPO CAC Safety Observations

Item #	City	Location	Suggestion	Date-Original	Date-Recent	Jurisdiction	TAC	MPO	Response	Status
1	Oxford	Bynum Blvd/Hwy 202 Intersection	Incorporate a light at this intersection to see it better at night	9/19/18	11/15/18	Oxford	✓	✓	Oxford will add street lights at this intersection as a city project	OPEN
2		I-20 east bound exit at Hwy 202	Reduce sight limitation from exiting the I-20 east bound exit ramp	9/19/18	11/14/18	Talladega County /ALDOT (I-20 ROW)	✓		Out of MPO Jurisdiction; Referred to Talladega County Engineer then forwarded to ALDOT; ALDOT to consult with traffic engineer	CLOSED
3	Oxford	On SR-21 between the Choccolocco Creek bridge and existing 65 mph in Munford/Talladega County	Is it possible to increase the speed limit to 65?	9/19/18	2/21/19	Mixed Calhoun County/ Talladega County	✓		Shannon Jones from ALDOT stated ALDOT will conduct a speed study should the jurisdiction request it. Mr. Fred Denney of Oxford indicated further safety issues with increased speed at this intersection. Clarified location with Mr. Miller Parnell. Safety concerns regarding intersections along SR-21. Investigation ongoing for better lighting conditions. Increasing speed would contradict safety concerns along this route.	OPEN
4	Calhoun County	Between Hardees on Hwy 202 to Coldwater	Is it possible to increase the speed limit to 65?	9/19/18	10/18/18	Mixed Calhoun County, Oxford, Anniston	✓		Shannon Jones from ALDOT stated ALDOT will conduct a speed study should the jurisdiction request it. Safety concerns regarding intersections along Hwy 202 (See item #1). Increasing speed would contradict safety concerns along this route.	OPEN
5	Anniston	S Quintard and P Street intersection	Removing traffic light or its capability to stop traffic at this intersection	10/18/18	12/10/18	Anniston	✓	✓	Mr. Toby Bennington of Anniston will not request a traffic study at this intersection. A letter will be prepared by the city engineer, Lance Armbruster and sent to the committee outlining why it is not warranted.	CLOSED

Item #	City	Location	Suggestion	Date-Original	Date-Recent	Jurisdiction	TAC	MPO	Response	Status
6	Anniston	Henry Road intersection at the Bypass	Addition of street lighting	11/14/18		Anniston	✓	✓	Anniston is reviewing and evaluating the request. They will submit a written response.	OPEN
7	Jacksonville	SR-21	Make manhole covers and curb gutters the same height as the new existing surface	11/14/18	01/9/19	Jacksonville	✓	✓	Risers will be put in. They have been purchased. Mayor Smith will follow up. Shannon Jones with ALDOT stated this will be addressed with a surface job punch list item and should start the week of Jan 9 th , 2019.	OPEN
8	Oxford	Bynum Blvd.	Increase speed limit on the 4-lane to more than current 45 mph	11/14/18		Oxford or Calhoun County?	✓	✓	Mr. Denney will talk to the Mayor and Police Chief. Suggestion had been posed in the past and had been opposed. Discussion of speed limit options and where have begun. Will need to discuss with police chief and bring to City Council.	OPEN
9	Weaver	1 st Baptist Church	Sidewalk rehabilitation	01/16/19	02/05/19	Weaver	✓	✓	Mr. Theo Smart inquired if there were any plans to rehabilitate the currently torn sidewalk in front of the 1 st Baptist Church in Weaver. Mayor Willis was only aware of the issue after last month's meeting. There are no plans at this time.	OPEN
10	Anniston	202/Quintard intersection	Look into timing of light; traffic backs up	3/21/19		Anniston	✓	✓	Jay Jenkins has brought it to the City Council's attention.	OPEN

Notice of Public Meeting

The Calhoun Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) will be accepting public comments regarding a Formal Amendment to its FY 2016–2019 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP). The amendment would delete both Preliminary Engineering and Construction of the resurfacing project for 9th Street and Caffey Drive (from AL 78 to Barry Street) in Oxford. The amendment would also include a reduction in project limits for the resurfacing project Alexandria/Jacksonville Rd from between the Jacksonville City Limits to McClellan Road to between the intersection at McClellan Rd to the intersection at Cedar Springs Road in Calhoun County. A copy of the full amendments and comment form are available at the EARPDC offices and on the EARPDC home page at www.earpdc.org prior to the public meeting and will be available at the public meeting scheduled for:

11 am – 12 pm
Wednesday May 15th
EARPDC 3rd Floor Conference Room
1130 Quintard Avenue
Anniston, AL 36202

This is a handicapped accessible building and room. Persons needing special accommodations to attend this meeting should contact the EARPDC ADA Coordinator, Dawn Landholm at (256) 237-6741 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

For more information or to submit a comment form prior to the public meeting contact: Elizabeth (Libby) Messick, Regional Planner, East Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission, PO Box 2186, 1130 Quintard Ave., Anniston, AL 36202, elizabeth.messick@earpdc.org, or 256-237-6741. All public comments will be reviewed by the MPO and included in the amended plan document.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING AND REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS

The East Alabama Rural Planning Organization (RPO) has scheduled a staff supported public meeting to answer questions and solicit comments regarding the Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT) ***Draft State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)***:

Public Meeting
10:30 pm – 12:00 pm
Thursday, May 16, 2019
3rd. Floor Conference Room 1130 Quintard Ave
Anniston, AL

This is a handicapped accessible building and room.

A copy of the updated draft plan and comment form can be picked up and reviewed prior to the meeting at the East Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission (EARPDC) offices during normal working hours or on the EARPDC website at www.earpdc.org. Copies of the draft will also be available for review and comment at the public meeting.

The comment period for which the draft document can be obtained and/or reviewed will begin during the public meeting. **Comments will be accepted for over a 21-day period ending June 14, 2019.** Public comments can be dropped off at the EARPDC office, submitted by mail to EARPDC at PO Box 2186, Anniston, AL 3620; faxed to (256)237-6763, or emailed to lattisha.royal@earpdc.org. All public comments will be reviewed by the ALDOT and included in the final plan document.

Persons needing special accommodations to attend this meeting should contact the EARPDC ADA Coordinator at (256) 237-6741 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting. For more information, contact Lattisha Royal, Regional Planner at (256) 237-6741, lattisha.royal@earpdc.org, or visit www.earpdc.org.

2018 Avg./Mo. 848 204 12 175 298 264

ADA Paratransit Ridership						
Fiscal Year 2018-2019	Anniston	Jacksonville	Weaver	Oxford	Section 5307 CC Urban	Section 5311 CC Rural
October	928	216	22	141	381	200
November	899	160	25	124	285	199
December	748	180	22	127	232	171
January	735	215	17	157	323	234
February	807	151	32	132	291	190
March	836	186	30	130	284	222
April	928	190	25	126	241	228
May						
June						
July						
August						
September						

2019 Avg./Mo. 840 185 25 134 291 206

Fixed-Route Ridership							
	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
Oct	11,074	10,266	11,357	9,851	9,011	9,560	9,993
Nov	10,168	9,690	9,644	9,077	9,481	9,225	8,504
Dec	9,069	9,610	10,621	9,749	9,459	8,205	8,082
Jan	10,188	9,240	10,446	8,957	8,019	7,510	8,239
Feb	10,002	10,393	8,826	9,573	8,462	8,960	7,800
Mar	10,117	11,245	9,467	9,965	9,707	9,493	8,979
Apr	10,261	11,463	9,872	9,338	8,240	8,942	9,045
May	10,258	11,512	9,548	8,838	9,900	9,502	
Jun	9,781	10,747	10,449	9,745	9,977	9,905	
Jul	10,136	11,707	10,535	9,164	8,971	8,820	
Aug	11,488	12,075	10,275	10,241	10,511	10,617	
Sep	9,756	11,370	9,688	9,543	9,280	8,607	

TOTAL	122,298	129,318	120,728	114,041	111,018	109,346	60,642
AVG/MO	10,192	10,777	10,061	9,503	9,252	9,112	8,663

TWC Meeting

2

Fiscal Year 2019 Meeting Dates

<u>TAC</u>	<u>CAC</u>	<u>MPO</u>
10 October		18 October
7 November	14 November	15 November
12 December		20 December
9 January	16 January	17 January
13 February		21 February
20 March @ 11	20 March @ 10	21 March
17 April		18 April
8 May	15 May	16 May
12 June		20 June
10 July	17 July	18 July
7 August		15 August
11 September	18 September	19 September

TAC Meeting

SIGN-IN SHEET

Technical Advisory Committee

of the

Calhoun Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

May 8, 2019 – 10:00 a.m.

NAME	ORGANIZATION	EMAIL ADDRESS & PHONE NO.	Email Notices?
Chase Riel	ALDOT		
MARK STEPHENS	JACKSONVILLE		
CHRIS GANN	CAL. CO.		
Toby Bennigh	COA		
Fred M. Donney	Oxford		
Jane Braun	ALDOT		
David Thompson	I.S.U		
Kevin Ashley	Anniston Army Depot		